



PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

Sub: Amendment to the earlier approved project proposal of M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited - reg.

Read:

1. SLSWCC Clearance No. KUM/S.WA-236/AD/145/2003 -04, dated 29.12.2003.
2. SLSWCC Clearance No. KUM/S.LSWCC-45/AD/145 (03-04) /2008-09, dated 23.10.2008.
3. Government Order No. CI 186 SPI 2016, dt. 20.06.2016.
4. Government Order No. CI 186 SPI 2016, dt. 31.08.2016.
5. Government Order No. CI 186 SPI 2016, dt. 25.04.2017.
6. Government Order No. CI 186 SPI 2016, dt. 27.03.2018.
7. Government Order No. CI 186 SPI 2016, dt. 24.07.2019.
8. Government Order No. CI 228 SPI 2020, dt. 22.09.2020.
9. Government Order No. CI 228 SPI 2020, dt. 23.03.2023.
10. Proceedings of the 141st State Level Single Window Clearance Committee meeting held on 28.11.2023.

-:0:-

Preamble:

The project proposal of Sri Sathya Sai Narayana Hospital Private Limited, to establish a hospital was approved in the 236th State Level Single Window Clearance Committee(SLSWCC) meeting held on 17.12.2003 and recommended to KIADB to acquire 5 acres of land as SUC for the project. KIADB was requested to help the promoters in identifying suitable land with Sy. Nos. for the project.

Subsequently in the 45th SLSWCC meeting held on 03.09.2008 approved the proposal of the company for change of activity from "Hospital" to "Multi Specialist Hospital with R&D facilities along with support services such as Hotel, Health Club, Recreation and Shopping facilities" and permission to enter in to Joint Development agreement with M/s. SJR Enterprises Private Limited to jointly develop the project.

SLSWCC in its 52nd meeting held during August 2009 approved "Convention Center" as additional activity.

SLSWCC in its 86th meeting held on 01.08.2015 approved extension of time for implementation of the project up to 01.08.2017

The SLSWCC in its 92nd meeting held on 27.05.2016 approved the company request to include 'IT Park' as additional activity subject to condition

that at least 2 acre of land will be used for building Multi Specialty Hospital. Accordingly, Government Order read at (3) above was issued.

The subject was discussed again in 93rd SLSWCC meeting held on 03.08.2016 and the committee noted that the company has not taken any effective steps even after KIADB executed lease cum sale agreement on 17.09.2007 and kept the accorded approval decision of 92nd SLSWCC meeting held on 27.05.2016 in abeyance. Accordingly, Government Order read at (4) above was issued.

Further on the request of the company the subject was discussed in the 99th SLSWCC meeting held on 13.04.2017 and accorded approval as the following.

- Revoke the abeyance on the decision of 92nd SLSWCC meeting held on 27.05.2016 and restore the approval accorded for increase in investment in the project to Rs.174.70 crore from Rs 45.00 crore and to include 'IT Park' as additional activity, subject to condition that at least 2 acres of land will be used for building Multi Specialty Hospital.
- Extension of time by 2 years to implement the project.

Accordingly, Government Order read at (5) above was issued.

The SLSWCC in its 110th meeting held on 23.03.2018 approved the change of company's name from M/s. Sri Sathya Sai Narayana Hospital Private Limited to M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited and in the 116th SLSWCC meeting held on 15.06.2019 approved establishment of IT Park in total area of 5 acres 7½ guntas instead of earlier proposal of 3 acres 7 ½ guntas at Bellandur Village, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District. Accordingly, Government Orders read at (6) & (7) above was issued.

Further, SLSWCC in its 120th meeting held on 19.08.2022 approved extension of time to M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited to implement the project for a period of two years with a condition that further request for extension of time will not be considered. Accordingly, Government Order read at (8) above was issued.

Subsequently, in the 138th SLSWCC Meeting held on 07.03.2023, the Committee noted the recommendations of 103rd LAC and recommendations of CEO & EM, KIADB and after detailed discussions, decided to withdraw the project approval granted to the Company. Accordingly, Government Order read at (9) above was issued.

Hurdles faced by the company in implementation of the project:

The company has informed that there were series of writ petitions filed by the landowners challenging the acquisition notifications of KIADB and hence could not implement the project.

Sl. No.	Case Number	Date of Disposal
1	W.P.26458/2004	
2	W.P.26615/2004	
3	W.P.1124/2005	
4	W.P.21408/2004	
5	WP. 27535/2004	
6	WP.20983/2005	
7	WA.1735/2007	22/11/2012
8	SLP.9662/2013	28/01/2020
9	WP.11615/2020	25/02/2022
10	W.A.381/2022	19/07/2022
11	W.A.1180/2020	10/01/2023

Company have challenged the project approval withdrawal Government Order No. CI 228 SPI 2020 dated 23-03-2023 before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.12898/2023 and the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 24.08.2023 has quashed the Government Order No. CI 228 SPI 2020, dated 23.03.2023 and remitted back to Karnataka Udyog Mitra for consideration afresh in accordance with law within 3 months.

Hence subject was placed for discussion in 140th SLSWCC meeting held on 15.09.2023.

Decision of the 140th SLSWCC meeting is as follows:

The Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No.12898/2023 (M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited V/s. The State of Karnataka) passed the following order on 24.08.2023, the relevant portion of the order reads as under:

3. "Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having perused the Petition Papers, this court notices that the impugned orders have been made without giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and therefore, the same is liable to be voided on the ground of violation of principles of natural justice. Whether the petitioner failed to comply with the requirement despite extension being granted, need not be examined by this court since that falls within the domain of the Executive.

In the above circumstances, this Writ Petition partly succeeds; a Writ of Certiorari issues quashing the impugned orders dated 23-03-2023 and 07-03-2023 respectively at Annexure 'S' & 'T'; matter is remitted to the 3rd Respondent-Karnataka Udyog Mitra for consideration afresh, in accordance with law, all contentions including those averred in the petition, being kept open. The remand

shall be decided and the decision taken shall be communicated to the petitioner within an outer limit of three months".

The Committee noted the above and taken the following decisions:

- a) In light of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court, the request of the allottee for extension of time and the submission of the KIADB alleging the violation of the terms and conditions of the lease cum sale agreement and their request for the cancellation of the approval to be placed before the ensuing LAC/SLSWCC Meeting.
- b) As directed by the Hon'ble High Court, the allottee/company will be informed to appear before ensuing LAC/SLSWCC meeting for personal hearing.

Further, the Company vide letter darted: 10.10.2023 has informed the following:

- Hon'ble high court of Karnataka in WA.1735/2007 challenging the acquisition of Sy.No.30/8 measuring 1 Acre 2 guntas. The Hon'ble High court by its Order dated 22nd November 2012, has disposed the said writ appeal observing that "The acquisition of land is for setting up a Multi-Specialty Hospital
- Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No. 11615/2020 vide its order dated 25.02.2022 confirming the acquisition of land by KIADB in favour of our Company. While passing final order the Hon'ble High Court considered the allegation that company has entered into a sale agreement in favour of M/S. Anushka Investments with respect to sale of built-up area after completion of the project. Hence violation of terms and condition of the lease would not arise.
- As per the final orders in W.A.No.381/2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, directed the KIADB to ensure that the subject land is utilized only for the Hospital Project and not for any other purpose. Further, the company has filed affidavit before the High Court of Karnataka declaring unconditionally that M/s. SSN Projects Private Limited (allottee) will undertake to construct /develop Multi - Specialty Hospital with all the allied Health Services in the allotted land to it and there shall not be any deviation in the project.

KIADB opinion sought as per the decision 140th SLSWCC meeting and the entire text of opinion is as follows:

CEO & EM, KIADB in letter dated 20.10.2023 referring to above mentioned Hon'ble High Court judgements has opined as below:

SLSWCC in its 138th meeting held on 07.03.2023 passed the following order in respect of the withdrawal of the earlier approved project proposal of M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited, the relevant order reads as under:

"Now the Company vide letter dated 19.09.2022, requested for extension of time to implement the project for a period of 3 years. The Company has cited below reason for seeking extension of time:

1. It is informed that all along this period there were continuous court litigation and practically KIADB could never handover the physical possession of the land though the procession certificate was issued and Lease cum Sale Agreement was also executed.

2. It is further informed that Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in its judgment on 19.07.2022 has directed the Company to implement only the Hospital Project. In observation of the order of Hon'ble High Court, company has requested KIADB for issuance of NOC for hypothecation of title deeds with the lending institution for implementation of the Hospital Project and KIADB has advised the company to approach the SLSWCC to get the approval back to Hospital Project as per High Court Direction.

Hence, Company has requested grant of approval to establish "Multi-Specialty Hospital" with extension of time by 3 years to implement the project.

In the 103rd Land Audit Committee (LAC) meeting held on 04.03.2023, the representative of the Company appeared before the Committee through VC and requested for extension of time by 3 years to implement the Project.

CEO & EM, KIADB informed that:

- Two lease cum sale deeds were executed on 07.05.2005 & 17.09.2007 respectively. The term of the lease fixed in lease deeds are 6 years and 10 years respectively which are expired. The project proponent failed to obtain extension of lease. Hence the lease cum sale deed stands cancelled as directed in WA.No.1735/2007 & WPNo.11615/2020.
- The Project proponent being a lessee executed a registered agreement of sale without possession on 31.05.2018 agreeing to sell the allotted lands by the Board in favour of M/s. Anushka Investments (Purchaser) for total consideration amount of Rs.17.50 crore. The execution of this agreement of sale is clearly in violation of terms and conditions of the lease cum sale agreements executed by the Board. The recitals of the agreement of sale dated 31.05.2018 discloses that M/s. SSSN Hospitals Private Limited, who is referred as the vendor claiming itself that it has purchased the allotted land being Sy.No.27/1P and other Sy. Nos situated at Bellandur Village, Bengaluru East Taluk from KIADB and offered to sell the scheduled properties for a total consideration

amount of Rs.17.05 crore and received Rs.16.00 crore as a part consideration amount through various cheques as mentioned in Para 3(I) to (XV) of agreement of sale. This execution of the registered agreement of sale dated 31.05.2018 is in clear violation of the terms and conditions of the lease.

The Committee noted the above and decided to recommend to SLSWCC for withdrawal of Project approval.

In the 138th SLSWCC Meeting held on 07.03.2023, the Committee noted the recommendations of LAC and recommendations of CEO & EM, KIADB and after detailed discussions, decided to withdraw the Project approval granted to the Company.

Government has examined the recommendations of the 138th SLSWCC meeting in all aspects.

Hence, the following order.

GOVERNMENT ORDER No. CI 228 SPI 2020, BENGALURU,
DATED 23.03.2023

“Government has withdrawn all the project approvals granted in favour of M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited”.

Challenging the said order the Project proponent filed WP.No.12898/2023 before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, the Hon'ble High Court disposed of the above said case on 24.08.2023 by passing the following order, which reads as under:

3. “Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having perused the Petition Papers, this court notices that the impugned orders have been made without giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and therefore, the same is liable to be voided on the ground of violation of principles of natural justice. Whether the petitioner failed to comply with the requirement despite extension being granted, need not be examined by this court since that falls within the domain of the Executive.

In the above circumstances, this Writ Petition partly succeeds; a Writ of Certiorari issues quashing the impugned orders dated 23.03.2023 and 07.03.2023 respectively at Annexure 'S' & 'T'; matter is remitted to the 3rd Respondent-Karnataka Udyog Mitra for consideration afresh, in accordance with law, all contentions including those averred in the petition, being kept open. The remand shall be decided and the decision taken shall be communicated to the petitioner within an outer limit of three months”.

Managing Director, Karnataka Udyog Mitra addressed a letter to the Board seeking opinion to place the subject before the ensuing LAC/SLSWCC meeting for extension of 2 years time for implementing the Project.

Contents of the letter dated 16.10.2023 were examined along with the records.

On perusal of the order referred supra, the Hon'ble High Court remitted back the case to the Respondent No.3-Udyog Mitra for consideration afresh in accordance with law, all contentions including those awards in petition being kept open, the remand shall be decided and the decisions taken shall be communicated to the Petitioner within a outer limit of 3 months.

On 01.09.2023 and 10.10.2023 the allottee M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited submitted representations requesting to extend the time for implementation of the Project in accordance with the orders passed in W.A.1735/2007, W.P.No.11615/2020 and W.A.No.381/2022. Before considering his request, it is useful to refer the relevant orders passed in WA.No.1735/2007, which reads as under:

25. "Therefore, it is clear, the State Government is vested with the power to specify what are the amenities which are to be provided in an industrial area. In fact, Section 2(1) defines "Amenity". The amenity includes road, supply of water or electricity, street lighting, drainage, sewerage, conservancy and such other convenience, as the State Government may by notification specify to be an amenity for the purposes of this Act. By virtue of the said Sub-Section (1) of Section 2, Government of Karnataka has issued a notification on 13th March 1991 specifying what are the amenities for the purpose of the Act. The said notification includes R & D Centers, Technical Institutes, Training Institutes, Educational Institutions, Power Sub-Stations and Diesel Power generating stations and water supply works, Hospital, dispensaries, Hotels, Motels and Holiday Resorts and Cinema theaters. Therefore, a Hospital would fall within the definition of amenity and the land in question is acquired for the purpose of setting up the said amenity which is an industrial purpose under the Act.

26. Lastly, it was contended that the third respondent has entered into an agreement with a builder and it is a real estate venture and not establishment of a Hospital. A counter has been filed by the third respondent pointing out that they do not have requisite expertise to put up construction of a Hospital as all of them are doctors. Therefore, they had to enter into an agreement with the contractor for putting up the construction. The construction to be put is exclusively for a Super Specialty Hospital. In fact, it was contended at the time of argument that, because of the delay in execution of the terms of the contract, with steep increase in the cost of construction, the contractor has backed out. It is only after getting possession of the entire land, they would be in a position to put up a Hospital. In fact they have kept the plans ready. Once the plan is sanctioned they will have to construct within 2 years, therefore they have withheld the submission of the plan to the competent

authority. Out of 5 acres 5 guntas, excluding an extent of 1 acre 2 guntas, now they are put in possession, they have leveled the ground, enclosed the entire land and awaiting the judgment in this case so that once they get possession of the land which is the subject matter of these proceedings they could submit the sanctioned plan, enter into an agreement with the contractor to put up construction and proceed expeditiously in establishing the Hospital. Therefore, they submit there is no substance in the said contention.

27. The acquisition of land is for setting up a Multi Specialty Neuro Hospital. We quite understand when the total extent of land is 5 acres 5 guntas, 7 Writ Petitions were filed challenging acquisition, now 6 Writ Petitions are disposed of, it has attained finality. The possession of 4 acres 3 guntas of land is delivered to the third respondent. 1 acre and 2 gunta which is the subject matter of this appeal, once it is handed over to the third respondent they should be in a position to implement the project. Unless the entire extent of land is handed over to the third respondent they cannot think of putting up the construction. The pendency of this litigation before the Court is the cause for a delay in obtaining sanction of the plan and putting up construction. As all the persons who are involved in the Company are doctors of high repute and the financial institutions have come forward to extend the financial benefit, once the land is made available to them by the Board, we do not see any impediment for establishment of the said Hospital. But, nonetheless once the land is acquired and possession is delivered to the third respondent, the third respondent shall use the entire extent of land only for the purpose of setting up of Hospital. In fact, the said land is to be given to them on lease-cum-sale agreement. If there is any violation of the terms of the lease or if this land is used for purposes other than putting up a Hospital, the KIADB shall cancel the lease, reclaim the property and the benefit of this acquisition shall not be given to the beneficiary. Keeping in mind the object behind the acquisition, the object with which the third respondent has been formed and above all keeping in mind the public interest, the persons who are going to be benefited by this Hospital, this acquisition is upheld. If the object is in any way diluted or not given effect to the third respondent would not be entitled to the benefit of this acquisition.

Hence, we pass the following order:-

Writ Appeal is dismissed.

Sri.K. Krishna, learned AGA, is permitted to file memo of appearance for respondent No.1 within four weeks.

Parties to bear their own costs".

It is useful to refer the relevant orders passed in WP.No.11615/2020, which reads as under:

25. "Though reliance is placed by the respondents 2 and 3 on the events that have transpired subsequent to disposal of WA.No.17535/2207 on 22-11-2012 in

order to contended that the change of land use is permissible and that the same will not be in violation or disobedience of the direction issued by the Division Bench referred to supra, in my considered view, none of the events subsequent to 22-11-2012 can be relied upon by the respondents 2 and 3 for the following reasons.

(i) Both respondent Nos.1 to 3 were parties to the interim order of status quo dated 18-03-2013 passed by the Apex Court in SLP No.9662/2013 and the said order which remained in force till 28-01-2020 when the Apex Court disposed of the matter is binding upon all the respondents.

(ii) The meeting of the SLSWCC held on 15-06-2019 permitting change of land use from Hospital to an IT Park was not only during the pendency of the matter before the Apex Court but the same was in the teeth of the interim order of status-quo. Consequently, respondents are not entitled to contend that change of land use was permissible by virtue of the decision taken by the SLSWCC on 15-06-2019.

(iii) Similarly, in addition to changing its name from Sri. Satya Sai Narayana Hospital Private Limited to M/s. SSSN Project Private Limited, the respondent no.3 also changes its object by incorporating the business of real estate on 11.01.2018 when the matter was pending before the Apex Court which had passed an order of status quo as stated supra. Consequently, even these documents cannot be relied upon by the respondents to contend that change of land use was permissible and the direction issued by the Division Bench need not be complied with / implemented/ given effect to by the KIADB resuming / reclaiming the land from respondent No.3, if the subject land were to be used for any purpose other than constructing a Hospital.

(iv) The final order passed in WA.No.1735/2007, interim order of status quo passed by the Apex Court on 18.03.2013 as well as the final order passed by the Apex Court on 28.01.2020, dismissing the petition filed by the Petitioners lead to the inescapable conclusion that all deeds and things done by respondents 1 to 3 and any document, deed, transactions entered into by them subsequent to the final order passed in WA.No.1735/2007 will not obviate or dispense with the specific direction to respondent No.2 KIADB to give effect to and implement the order of the Division Bench.

26. Under these circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that the directions issued by the Division Bench at paragraph -25 in WA.No.1735/2007 have attained finality and are conclusive and binding upon the petitioners as well as the respondents who have no option but to comply with, implement and give effect to the directions issued by the this Court in the said order. To reiterate, the respondents are not entitled to take shelter or rely upon any document, event, act, deed or thing that have occurred/ transpired after disposal of WA.No.1735/2007 on 22.11.2012, in order to contend that respondent No.2 -KIADB is absolved of its responsibility or duty to comply with and implement and give effect to the directions issued by the Division Bench referred to supra, particularly, when all the

respondents were parties to the earlier proceedings and the representation in this regard was submitted by the petitioners dated 18.03.2020 to the respondents.

27. Though several decisions have been relied upon by both sides in support of their respective contentions, in the light of the fact that the present petition arises in the backdrop of the earlier round of litigation and the peculiar/special facts and circumstances obtaining in the instant case, the said decisions cannot be made applicable to the present case and accordingly, a detailed reference to the same may not be required for the purpose of disposal of this petition.

28. In the result, I Pass the following:-

ORDER

(i) Petition is partly allowed.

(ii) Respondents 1 and 2 are hereby directed to comply with, obey, implement and give effect to the directions issued by this Court in W.A.No.1735/2007 dated 22.11.2012 against the respondent No.3 in relation to the subject land by taking necessary steps in this regard by taking into consideration the representation at Annexure-T dated 18-03-2020 submitted by the petitioners and in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order".

On Conjoint reading of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court referred supra, makes it clear that the allottee has to utilize the entire extent of the land allotted to him by Board only for the purpose of setting up a Hospital. The Hon'ble High Court on taking into consideration, the subsequent events after passing the order in W.A.No.1735/2007 i.e. change of land use and the execution of the any document, deed, transactions entered into by them subsequent to the final order passed in W.A.No.1735/2007 ordered that the same will not obviate or dispense with specific directions to respondent No.2 KIADB to give effect to and implement the order of the Division Bench. In view of the specific directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in the above writ petition, the allottee has to implement the Project in the allotted land only for the purpose of setting up of a Hospital.

On perusal of registered agreement of sale dated 31.08.2018 makes it clear that, the allottee executed an agreement of sale in favour of M/s. Anushka Investments. The recitals of the agreement discloses that the vendor shall construct the proposed commercial complex on the schedule A property i.e. leasehold property by the Board and shall transfer 70,000 Sq. feet of the Super Built-up Area in any floor of the proposed Commercial Complex on Schedule A property. The Schedule B property described in the agreement of sale is that 70,000 Sq.Feet of Super Built-up Area in the proposed development on Schedule A property along with the proportionate undivided share of land as per approved plans and sanctions.

Admittedly this agreement of sale was executed during the pendency of the SLP.(C) 9662/2013 wherein the order of status quo passed by the Apex Court was in force.

The Hon'ble Apex Court dismissed the SLP on 21.08.2020. During the subsistence of interim order passed by the Apex Court, the registered agreement of sale came to be executed on 31.05.2018. The Hon'ble High Court specifically ordered that all the deeds and things done by Respondent No.1 to 3 i.e. Respondent No.3 M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited, any document, deed, transaction entered into by them subsequent to the final order passed in WA 1735/2007 will not obviate will not dispense with, the specific direction to the Respondent No.2 KIADB to give effect to and implement the order of the Division Bench. Therefore as per the direction issued by the Hon'ble High Court in WP.No.11615/2020, the agreement of sale dated 31.05.2018 become redundant and unenforceable.

It is further relevance to note that the allottee M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited entangled with various litigations. The litigation of land owners persisted till 19.07.2022. Therefore the peaceful possession of the properties was delivered to the allottee only in the month of May 2022 i.e. 4 months prior to the expiry of period fixed for implementing the Project. All these circumstances including the delivery of peaceful possession only in the month of May 2022 made impossible for the allottee to implement the project within the stipulated time.

In view of the specific directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court as referred supra, directing M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited shall use the entire extent of the allotted land only for the purpose of setting up a Hospital, in pursuance of such a direction M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited filed an affidavit before the Hon'ble High Court in WA.No.381/2022 and also another affidavit along with representation undertaking and declaring unconditionally that M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited (allottee) will undertake to construct/develop Multi-Specialty Hospital with all the allied Health Services in the allotted land to it by the KIADB and there shall not be any deviation in the Project.

For the aforesaid reasons the request of the allottee for extension of 2 years time for implementing the Project of setting up of a Hospital may be favorably considered in the light of the directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court by placing the same before the SLSWCC for its kind consideration.

The following documents are enclosed for placing the same before the ensuing SLSWCC:

- a) Copy of the Government Order bearing No. CI 228 SPI 2020, Bengaluru, dated 23.03.2023.

- b) Copy of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in WP.No.12898/2023 dated 24-08-2023.
- c) Copy of the letter dated 30-04-2023 addressed by CEO & EM, KIADB to Managing Director, Karnataka Udyog Mitra.
- d) Copy of the Registered Agreement of Sale executed by M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited in favour of M/s.Anushka Investments.

Present Request of the company: -

Company has challenged the project approval withdrawal vide Government Order No. CI 228 SPI 2020, dated 23.03.2023 before the Hon'ble High Court in WP No.12898/2023 and the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 24.08.2023 has quashed the Government Order No. CI 228 SPI 2020 dated 23.03.2023 and remitted back to Karnataka Udyoga Mitra for consideration a fresh in accordance with law within outer limit of 3 months.

Based on the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka Order, Company vide letter dated 01.09.2023 and 10.10.2023 has requested extension of time by 2 years to implement the project.

Company has submitted an affidavit declaring to construct/develop Multi Specialty Hospital, with all allied health services in the land allotted to the company.

Recommendation of 108th LAC meeting held on 17.11.2023:

The above opinion along with documents and request of the company for extension of time is placed before the Committee for discussion.

The representative of the company appeared before the Committee and requested for extension of time by 3 years to implement the project and also informed that they have submitted an affidavit declaring unconditionally to construct/develop Multi Specialty Hospital, with all allied health services in the land allotted to the company by KIADB and there shall not be any deviation in the project.

The Committee noted the request of the company and opinion of CEO & EM, KIADB and after detailed discussions decided to place the above facts before SLSWCC for discussion and decision.

Decision of the 141st SLSWCC meeting:

The Committee noted the request of the company, opinion of CEO & EM, KIADB and observations of Land Audit Committee and after detailed discussions resolved to grant extension of time by 2 years to implement the project, with a condition that further extension of time will not be considered.

Government has examined the recommendations made by the 141st SLSWCC meeting held on 28.11.2023 in all aspects.

Hence the following order.

GOVERNMENT ORDER No. CI 228 SPI 2020, BENGALURU, DATED 27.12.2023

Government is pleased to grant extension of time to M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited to implement the project for a period of two years, with a condition that further extension of time will not be considered.

All other terms and conditions indicated in the Office Order read at (1) above remains unaltered.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. Nagaratnamma.
(NAGARATHNAMMA .G) *27/12/2023*
Desk Officer (Technical Cell),
Commerce & Industries Department.

To,

1. Principal Accountant General (G&SSA)/(E&RSA), Karnataka, New Building, 'Audit Bhawan', Post Box No. 5398, Bengaluru.
2. Principal Accountant General (A&E), Karnataka, Park House Road, Post Box No. 5329, Bengaluru.
3. Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Finance Department, Vidhana Soudha, Bengaluru.
4. Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Energy Department, Vikasa Soudha, Bengaluru-01.
5. Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Forest, Ecology & Environment Department, M.S. Building, Bengaluru-01.
6. Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Water Resource Department, Vikasa Soudha, Bengaluru-01.
7. Principal Secretary to Govt., Revenue Department, M.S. Building, Bengaluru.
8. Commissioner for Industrial Development and Director of Industries and Commerce, Khanija Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bengaluru.
9. Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Vanijya Therige Karyalaya, Gandhi Nagar, Bengaluru-09.
10. Managing Director, Bengaluru Electricity Supply Company Ltd.(BESCOM), Bengaluru.

11. Member Secretary, Karnataka State Pollution Control Board (KSPCB), #49, Church Street, Parisara Bhavan, Bengaluru-01.
12. Chief Executive Officer & Executive Member, Karnataka Industrial Area Development Board, Khanija Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bengaluru.
13. Director (Technical Cell), Commerce & Industries Department, Vikasa Soudha, Bengaluru.
14. Additional Director (Projects & Promotion), Directorate of Industries and Commerce, Khanija Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bengaluru.
15. Managing Director, Karnataka Udyog Mitra, Khanija Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bengaluru.
16. PS to Hon'ble Minister for Large and Medium Industries, Vidhana Soudha, Bengaluru.
17. PS to Principal Secretary to Govt., Commerce & Industries Department, Vikasa Soudha, Bengaluru.
18. M/s. SSSN Projects Private Limited, No.31, 3rd Cross, 1st Block, RMV 2nd Stage, Bengaluru-94.
20. Guard File / Spare Copies.